Haskel and van Veenendaal Reply: Based on a meanfield analysis of $\text{Er}_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B}$, Kuz'min [1] tries to disprove our statement that $B_{20}^{(f)}$ is opposite in sign to $B_{20}^{(g)}$ in Nd₂Fe₁₄B. Unfortunately, Ref. [2] never makes this statement and it can be entirely attributed to Kuz'min. We used negative values for both parameters and we are pleased that Kuz'min's evaluation supports this choice. The different behavior of the f and g sites is a result of the fourth-order crystal-field parameters. In addition, for spins that are not collinear, one also needs to consider the $B_{2,-2}$ crystal-field parameters. Both effects are neglected by Kuz'min, who takes as a starting point that crystal-field effects are small and that the spins of the different Nd sites are always collinear, which is inconsistent with our experimental observations. It is correct that fourth-order terms decay more rapidly, but the measurements are done close to $T \cong \frac{1}{2}T_c$, where the Nd moments are reduced by only $\sim 30\%$ with respect to their saturated moment for $T \rightarrow 0$ K [3]. In addition, Kuz'min's estimate for the temperature dependence of the anisotropy effects is based on a thermal averaging with a Boltzmann distribution containing only the magnetic part of the Hamiltonian. This approach forces the crystal-field effects to disappear at the critical temperature, excludes the presence of magnetic anisotropy in paramagnetic systems at any temperature, and clearly underestimates the anisotropy effects. Although the fourth-order crystal-field parameters have become weaker relative to the second-order terms, the change is insufficient to affect the conclusions of our Letter.

Kuz'min concludes that the magnetization of Fe and Nd sublattices cannot deviate from collinearity by more than



FIG. 1 (color online). Orientation of site-specific Nd moments through the SRT of Nd₂Fe₁₄B obtained from x-ray resonant magnetic scattering experiments [10]. The moments deviate from the *c* axis toward the [110] direction. The Fe sublattice reorients by $\sim 30^{\circ}$ [9]. X-ray magnetic circular dichroism (XMCD) averages over the inequivalent Nd sites.

2°. This relies on having the same exchange fields at 4f and 4g Nd sites, and for them to overwhelm crystal-field effects at room temperature. However, *R*-Fe exchange fields [4] are known to differ significantly for the inequivalent 4f and 4g sites, by $\approx 20\%$ for R = Nd and $\approx 50\%$ for R = Er [resulting in $\alpha = 0.4$ instead of 0.01 and invalidating Eq. (1) of this Comment]. In addition, exchange and crystal fields acting on *R* ions in $R_2\text{Fe}_{14}\text{B}$ are of the same order of magnitude [5–8], with the *R*-Fe exchange coupling not being strong enough to ensure a rigid rotation of *R* and Fe magnetizations [6,7].

Mean-field approaches such as Kuz'min's cannot explain recent element- and site-specific results showing that Nd and Fe sublattices deviate from collinearity by 30° or more below the ~135 K spin reorientation transition (SRT). Significant deviations from collinearity are also detected between Nd moments at inequivalent crystal sites [3,9,10] (see Fig. 1). Mean-field approaches [5,11] lead to the incorrect conclusion that Nd and Fe sublattices maintain collinearity within a few degrees. This clearly indicates that element and site-specific information is a must in gaining a fundamental understanding of the competing interactions in R_2 Fe₁₄B compounds.

Work at Argonne is supported by the U.S. DOE, Office of Science, under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. M. v. V. is supported by U.S. DOE Grant No. DE-FG02-03ER46097 and the U.S. Department of Education.

Daniel Haskel¹ and Michel van Veenendaal^{1,2} ¹Advanced Photon Source Argonne National Laboratory Argonne, Illinois 60439, USA ²Department of Physics Northern Illinois University De Kalb, Illinois 60115, USA

Received 18 July 2006; published 20 December 2006 DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.97.259702 PACS numbers: 75.25.+z, 75.30.-m, 75.50.Bb

- M. D. Kuz'min, preceding Comment, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 259701 (2006).
- [2] D. Haskel et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 95, 217207 (2005).
- [3] H. Onodera et al., J. Magn. Magn. Mater. 68, 15 (1987).
- [4] M. Loewenhaupt, I. Sosnowska, A. Taylor, and R. Osborn, J. Appl. Phys. 69, 5593 (1991).
- [5] J.F. Herbst, Rev. Mod. Phys. 63, 819 (1991), and references therein.
- [6] S. Hirosawa et al., J. Appl. Phys. 59, 873 (1986).
- [7] R.J. Radwanski and J.J. M. Franse, Phys. Rev. B 36, 8616 (1987).
- [8] M. Bogé et al., Solid State Commun. 55, 295 (1985).
- [9] J. Chaboy *et al.*, Phys. Rev. B **57**, 8424 (1998); F. Bartolome *et al.*, J. Appl. Phys. **87**, 4762 (2000); A. Koizumi *et al.*, Jpn. J. Appl. Phys. **32**, Suppl. 32-2, 332 (1993).
- [10] D. Haskel et al., IEEE Trans. Magn. 40, 2874 (2004).
- [11] J. M. Cadogan, J. P. Gavigan, D. Givord, and H. S. Li, J. Phys. F 18, 779 (1988).