

... for a brighter future

SPX: Short-Pulse X-Ray Generation Using RF Deflecting Cavities







A U.S. Department of Energy laboratory managed by UChicago Argonne, LLC Ali Nassiri (on behalf of SPX Team) Accelerator Systems Division Advanced Photon Source

ESRF, Spring8, APS Three-Way Meeting (3WM08)







Acknowledgements

B. Adams, A. Arms, N. Arnold, T. Berenc, M. Borland, T. B. Brajuskovic, D. Bromberek, J.Carwardine, Y-C. Chae, L.X. Chen, A. Cours, J.Collins, G. Decker, P.
Den Hartog, N. Di Monti, D. Dufresne, L. Emery, M. Givens, A. Grelick, K. Harkay,
D. Horan, Y. Jaski, E. Landahl, F. Lenkszus, R. Lill, L. Morrison, A. Nassiri, E.
Norum, D. Reis, V. Sajaev, G. Srajer, T. Smith, X. Sun, D. Tiede, D. Walko, G.
Waldschmidt, J. Wang, B. Yang, L. Young

V. Dolgashev (SLAC)

R. Rimmer, H. Wang, P. Kneisel, L. Turlington (JLab)

Derun Li, A. Zholents (LBL)

K. Hosoyama (KEK)

L. Bellatoni (FNAL)

J. Shi (Tsinghua University- Beijing). PhD student



Outline

- Existing and Future Sources
- Rf crabbing concept
- Beam emittance growth
- X-ray performance
- Technology options and challenges
- Summary



Existing and Future Sources

- Table-top plasma sources
 - Short pulse 300 fs 10 ps
 - Divergent radiation low flux
 - Low rep-rate (10- 1kHz)
 - Not tunable (target dependent)
- Storage Rings
 - ~100-ps duration pulse
 - Spontaneous x-ray radiation
 - High average brightness at high repetition rate
- Laser Slicing (ALS, SLS, BESSY)
 - Short pulse 100 -300 fs
 - Rep-rate kHz
 - Low flux 105 ph/s @ 0.1%bw
 - Not effective at high-energy sources
- Linacs (LCLS/XFEL)
 - Short pulse 100 fs
 - Fully coherent
 - Extremely high brilliance
 - Low rep-rate (100 Hz)
 - Limited tunability



What is important to the users?

- Peak brightness isn't everything
- What is important is a combination of:
 - Short pulse (1ps or below)
 - Tunability
 - Repetition rate (1 kHz to CW)
 - Accessibility
 - Average number of photons
 - Focusibility

Flexible source will enable new physics



A. Nassiri

Concept

- Use transverse-deflecting rf cavities to impose a correlation ("chirp" between the longitudinal position of a particle within the bunch and the vertical momentum.
- The second cavity is placed at a vertical betatron phase advance of $n\pi$ downstream of the first cavity, so as to cancel the chirp.
- With an undulator or bending magnet placed between the cavities, the emitted photons will have a strong correlation among time and vertical slope.
- This can be used for either pulse slicing or pulse compression.



NATIONAL LABORATOR

Emittance growth vs. deflecting voltage



Results for 120 Hz Pulse Rate

Plots courtesy M. Borland



A. Nassiri

Performance

Two slits at 26.5 m

- Vertical slit is varied from ±100 mm to ±0.01 mm
- Fixed horizontal slit ±0.25 mm (E. Dufrense)

Results are very similar up to 4 MV

- Curves flatten out for ~1% transmission
- Vertical slit is ~±0.1 mm at this point

CW has an edge due to shorter bunch, smaller emittance



Slide courtesy M. Borland



A. Nassiri

Summary of Tolerances

Quantity	Driving Requirement	120 Hz Tolerance	1 kHz Tolerance
Common-mode voltage	Keep intensity and bunch length variation under 1%	±1%	±1%
Differential voltage	Keep emittance variation under 10% of nominal 25 pm	±0.29%	±0.13%
Common-mode phase relative to bunch arrival	Constraint intensity variation to 1%	±10 deg	±10 deg
Differential phase	Keep emittance variation under 10% of nominal 25 pm	±0.16 deg	±0.05 deg
Rotational alignment	Emittance control	~1 mrad	~1 mrad
Net residual voltage	Emittance control (weak bunches)	26 kV	13 kV

- Differential errors are assumed to be "static"
- CM errors may be dynamic, but conservatively evaluated as static
- Temperature control should be AGARA. We'll have to manage temperaturerelated drifts with phase shifters and attenuators.
- Tolerance on timing signal from crab cavity to users: ±0.9 deg

Slide courtesy M. Borland



Beam stability Analysis - HOM/LOM

- For three-cell cavities with coupler at end cell using 3D model for R, Q, and R/Q values
- Qs of longitudinal and horizontal planes are very low (20 200)
- Worst vertical plane HOM has a Q of 4100
- Horizontal and vertical planes are stable with synchrotron radiation
 - No need for coherent damping



Plane	Growth Rate	Damping Rate		
		Synchrotron Radiation	Coherent	Comment
Longitudinal	60 s ⁻¹	208 s ⁻¹	Not applicable	Stable
Horizontal	5 s ⁻¹	104 s ⁻¹	>600 s ⁻¹	See above
Vertical	80 s ⁻¹	104 s ⁻¹	>600 s ⁻¹	See above

Slide courtesy L. Emery



A. Nassiri

Cavity Design Evolution





A. Nassiri

APS Short Pulse X-Ray Normal-Conducting Cavity Design*

Frequency	2.815 GHz	
Deflecting Voltage	2 MV	
Peak Power	2.8 MW	
Working mode Q_o	12000	
R _t /Q	117	
Iris radius	22 mm	
Phase advance	π	
Structure length	11.17 cm	
w/o beam pipes		
Duty Factor	0.147%	
Pulse Rate	1.0 kHz	
Kick / (Power) ^{1/2}	1.19 MV/MW ^{1/2}	
Beam Current	100 mA	



Damper Flange

^{*1} In collaboration with V. Dolgashev (SLAC)





A. Nassiri

KEK Single-Cell 500 MHz Crab Cavity*



Argonne

A. Nassiri

APS 2.8 GHz Superconducting Single-Cell Deflecting Cavity¹

		HOM damper
Frequency (GHz)	2.815	
Deflecting Voltage	4 MV * 2	Deflecting
Qo (2K)	3.8 * 10 ⁹	
G	235	
R _T / Q (Ω/m)	37.2	
Beam radius	2.5 cm	
No. Cavities	12 * 2	
Operation	CW	damper
Beam Current (mA)	100	
E _{sp} /V _{defl} (1/m)	83.5	HOM Waveguide damper replaces
B _{sp} /V _{defl} (mT/MV)	244.1	dampers KEK coaxial coupler

Compact single-cell cavity / damper assembly

¹ In collaboration with JLab and LBL



A. Nassiri

APS - SPX

Input Coupler /

Deflecting Mode

- Dampers create a 37% increase in peak magnetic field
- Strong damping requirements for APS necessitate damper proximity to cavity
- Total number of cavities is determined such that peak magnetic field is < 100 mT</p>
- 12 mm clearance between cavity and dampers (on either side) for tuning plates.
- An elliptical beam pipe was investigated for reducing peak magnetic field, but little improvement was found in the ratio mT/MV.



	Peak mt/MV	Rt/Q	G
1-cell	178.8	36.3	239
1-cell w/ LOM	244.1	37.2	235

Deflecting mode parameters with and without dampers



A. Nassiri

Deflecting Cavity Layout - Schematic





A. Nassiri

CW SRF - RF Control Challenges

- Differential Phase Error Specification: ±0.04 deg
- Differential Voltage Error Spec: ±0.29 %
- Architecture Tradeoffs:
 - Single Drive Amplifier: Correlated errors cancel, but need individual I/Q modulators
 - Individual Drive Amplifiers: Provides individual low level control, but residual phase noise is uncorrelated.
- Self-Excited Loop & Tuner Control Algorithms for fast recovery from quench/trips in presence of large static Lorentz detuning (JLab has made excellent progress in this area)

Storage Ring

- **Microphonics Mitigation**
- Calibration Algorithms (cancel kick, reduce drift)
- Phase stable reference & cable plant
- Low noise down-conversion



Slide courtesy T. Berenc



A. Nassiri

Summary

- Short X-ray pulse generation at the synchrotron light sources will open up new frontiers in time domain science using X-ray techniques to study structural dynamics included but not limited to:
 - Condensed Matter, Chemical and Biological, Gas Phase Dynamics
- Both normal conducting room-temperature and SRF option are feasible but SRF offers significant advantages
 - Is not limited to SR bunch trains fill patterns
 - Higher flux and higher repetition rates up to CW
- It is complimentary to LCLS with the added advantage of energy tunability which is a unique feature in comparison to XFEL sources
 - Provides spectral coverage and resolution that is necessary in detecting electronic and nuclear geometry on ps-time scale
- Light source-based short x-ray pulse generation via Zholents' scheme has the capability of accommodating multiple users
- ANL-APS is taking a lead to develop an SRF deflecting system generating pstype x-ray pulses.
- We invite and welcome collaborators to join us.

